UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,760	03/19/2004	Meir S. Sacks	MSS 65055	7688
7590 06/25/2008 Alan G. Towner			EXAMINER	
Pietragallo, Bos		VAKILI, ZOHREH		
One Oxford Centre, 38th Floor 301 Grant Street Pittsburgh, PA 15219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/804,760	SACKS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ZOHREH VAKILI	1614
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tild will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1 and 4-10 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 4-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
<u> </u>		
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11. 	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/804,760

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1 and 4-10 are presented for examination.

The finality of the previous Office Action dated July 13, 2007 is hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/804,760

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 1 and 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laruelle et al. (US Pat. No. 4472387) in view of Sandyk (US Pat. No. 5470846) and further in view of Castillo et al. (US Pat. No. 6264994 B1).

Laruelle et al. disclose a pharmaceutical composition suitable for increasing cerebral serotonin concentration, comprising a serotonin precursor and inosine and hypoxanthine (see abstract). Treatment consists of administering to a mammal having a lower than normal cerebral serotonin level an amount of a pharmaceutical composition of the present invention effective to increase the cerebral serotonin level. Daily dosages of 1 to 100 mg/kg are preferred (see col. 5, lines 8-22).

Sandyk teaches a method of treating neurological and mental disorders which are associated with and/or related pathogenetically to deficient serotonin neurotransmission (see abstract). Treatment of neurological and mental disorders which are associated with pathogenetically to deficient serotonin are Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's disease. Neurological and mental disorders are treated by administering to such humans in need thereof an effective amount of a composition which increases serotonin transmission (col. 7, lines 44-50).

Castillo et al. teach that the invention relates to compositions and methods for treating Alzheimer's Disease and other amyloidoses and cognitive and mental effects thereof; more particularly, it relates to herbal compositions for intervention in Alzheimer's disease and other amyloidoses and for remedies to

Application/Control Number: 10/804,760

Art Unit: 1614

cognitive and mental effects thereof (col. 1, lines 12-17). The pharmaceutical agent is selected from polyphenols and plants sterols (see col. 8, lines 13-16). Castillo et al. further discloses that the composition of the invention will have enhanced function when function when taken together with one or more of the following antioxidants such as vitamin C or vitamin E (see col. 19, lines 62-65).

One skilled in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of the above references considering that it is generally prima facie obvious to combine two or more compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a composition which is to be used for the very same purpose. The idea for combining them flows logically from their having been used individually in the prior art. As shown by the recited teachings, the instant claims define nothing more than the concomitant use of serotonin, inosine, hypoxanthine, and antioxidants to increase the uptake of serotonin in treating Alzheimer's disease. It would follow that the recited claims define prima facie obvious subject matter. In re Kerhoven, 626 F.2d 848, 205 USPQ 1069 (CCPA 1980).

It would have been obvious to have combined the teachings of the above references to formulate a method of treating Alzheimers' patients by increasing the serotonin composition uptake along with antioxidants such as polyphenols and Vitamin C.

Finally, one would have a reasonable expectation of success given that Laruelle et al., Sandyk, and Castillo et al. provide a detailed blueprint for

treatment of Alzheimers' patients, and the steps of which are routine to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Thus in the absence of evidence to the contrary, the invention of claims 1 and 4-10 would have been prima facie obvious as a whole to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zohreh Vakili whose telephone number is 571-272-3099. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 Mon.-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel, can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/804,760 Page 6

Art Unit: 1614

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

Zohreh Vakili

Patent Examiner June 20, 2008

1614

/Ardin Marschel/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614